

Term Paper Questions

Motoaki Takahashi

August 15, 2024

General instructions

- Answer all questions.
- Submit one PDF file containing all your answers, one Julia file for Question 3 (Computing the Eaton-Kortum model), and one latex file if you create your term paper with latex.
- If you create a term paper with latex, you will get 5 extra points. In this case, you need to submit a tex file as well as a PDF file and a Julia file.
- See https://www.overleaf.com/learn/latex/Learn_LaTeX_in_30_minutes for an introduction to latex.
- You can use Overleaf¹ to compile a latex file. Alternatively, you can use a software in your local computer to compile it.
- Send your term paper to mtakahas@uni-mainz.de by 10 pm on August 8 (Central European Time).
- You can submit your term paper only once. Resubmission is not allowed.

1 The Dornbusch-Fischer-Samuelson model

(10 pts) Consider the model described in "1_DFS_slide.pdf." We make one change. That is, now we assume that function $A(\cdot)$ is weakly decreasing, but not strictly decreasing (See p.3 of 1_DFS_slide.pdf). Then for given $A(0) > \omega > A(1)$, is there only one \tilde{z} such that $\omega = A(\tilde{z})$? Answer yes or no. If your answer is yes, give a proof. If your answer is no, give a counterexample.

Hint: Real-valued function f is weakly decreasing if $f(x) \geq f(x')$ for $x < x'$. f is strictly decreasing if $f(x) > f(x')$ for $x < x'$.

¹<https://www.overleaf.com/>

2 Caliendo and Parro (2015)

Read [Caliendo and Parro \(2015\)](#) and answer the following questions.

1. (5 pts) What is the difference between the model in [Caliendo and Parro \(2015\)](#) and the one in [Eaton and Kortum \(2002\)](#)? Explain within 140 words.
2. (5 pts) How do [Caliendo and Parro \(2015\)](#) estimate the trade elasticity²? Explain with at least one equation.

3 Computing the Eaton-Kortum model

There are N countries. Consider an equilibrium of the Eaton-Kortum model characterized by the following $3N + N^2$ equations

$$w_i = \frac{1}{L_i} \sum_{n=1}^N w_n L_n \pi_{ni}, \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_{ni} = \frac{T_i (w_i^\beta p_i^{1-\beta} d_{ni})^{-\theta}}{\Phi_n}, \quad (2)$$

$$\Phi_n = \sum_{k=1}^N T_k (w_k^\beta p_k^{1-\beta} d_{nk})^{-\theta}, \quad (3)$$

$$p_n = \gamma \Phi_n^{-\frac{1}{\theta}}. \quad (4)$$

Notations follow the slides on the EK model ([2_EK_slide.pdf](#)). The outline of an algorithm to solve the equilibrium is as follows. Let ϵ_w and ϵ_p be small numbers such as $\epsilon_w > \epsilon_p > 0$.³

1. Guess $(w_i)_{i=1}^N$.
 - (a) Guess $(p_i)_{i=1}^N$.
 - (b) Given $(w_i)_{i=1}^N$ and $(p_i)_{i=1}^N$, compute $(\Phi_i)_{i=1}^N$ using (3).
 - (c) Given $(\Phi_i)_{i=1}^N$, compute new price indices, say $(p_i^{\text{new}})_{i=1}^N$, using (4). If $\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left| \frac{p_i^{\text{new}} - p_i}{p_i} \right| \leq \epsilon_p$, go to the next step. Otherwise, that is, if $\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left| \frac{p_i^{\text{new}} - p_i}{p_i} \right| > \epsilon_p$, go back to (a), replacing $(p_i)_{i=1}^N$ with $(p_i^{\text{new}})_{i=1}^N$ as your new guess.
2. You have the converged $(p_i)_{i=1}^N$ given $(w_i)_{i=1}^N$. Compute $(\pi_{ni})_{n=1, i=1}^{N, N}$ using (2).

²In their notation, θ^j . j indexes industries.

³Practically, we use numbers such as 10^{-6} or 10^{-7} .

3. Given $(\pi_{ni})_{n=1, i=1}^{N, N}$, compute new nominal wages, say $(w_i^{\text{new}})_{i=1}^N$, using (1). If $\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left| \frac{w_i^{\text{new}} - w_i}{w_i} \right| \leq \epsilon_w$, stop. You got the converged $(w_i)_{i=1}^N$. Otherwise, that is, if $\max_{i=1, \dots, N} \left| \frac{w_i^{\text{new}} - w_i}{w_i} \right| > \epsilon_w$, go back to 1., replacing $(w_i)_{i=1}^N$ with $(w_i^{\text{new}})_{i=1}^N$. Make sure that you set one numeraire, for example, set the first country's nominal wage to be one.

Consider the following setup. There are three countries, that is, $N = 3$. The populations are

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 \\ L_2 \\ L_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 100 \\ 100 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The labor share in value-added is $\beta = 0.5$. The trade elasticity is $\theta = 4$. The productivity, or strictly speaking, the location parameters of the productivity distributions are

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ T_2 \\ T_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The trade costs are

$$d = \begin{bmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & d_{13} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & d_{23} \\ d_{31} & d_{32} & d_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d_{12} & 2 \\ d_{21} & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Recall that d_{ni} is the trade costs for varieties from country i to country n (read the subscripts from the right to the left). $\gamma = \Gamma \left(\frac{\theta+1-\sigma}{\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\sigma}} = 0.65$ supposing $\sigma = 4$. Note that we have not assigned values to T_1 , d_{12} , and d_{21} .

3.1 Comparative statics with respect to T_1

(20 pts) Assume that $d_{12} = d_{21} = 2$. Suppose that the productivity in country 1, T_1 , varies from 0.5 to 0.51, 0.52, \dots , 1.5 (that is, it varies from 0.5 to 1.5 with the step size 0.01). Plot the real wage $\frac{w_i}{p_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) against T_1 for such a domain of T_1 . That is, draw a graph where the horizontal axis is T_1 and the vertical axis is the real wages $\frac{w_i}{p_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

3.2 Comparative statics with respect to $d_{12} = d_{21}$

(20 pts) Assume that $T_1 = 1$. Assume that the trade costs between 1 and 2 are symmetric, and let $d^* = d_{12} = d_{21}$. Suppose that d^* varies from 1.5 to 1.51, 1.52, \dots , 2.5 (that is, it varies from 1.5 to 2.5 with the step size 0.01). Plot the real wage $\frac{w_i}{p_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$) against d^* for such a domain of d^* . That is, draw a graph where the horizontal axis is d^* and the vertical axis is the real wages $\frac{w_i}{p_i}$ ($i = 1, 2, 3$).

4 The Melitz-Chaney model

(10 pts) Consider the model described in 5_Melitz_Chaney.pdf. Here, instead of the probability distribution in p.14 of 5_Melitz_Chaney.pdf, we assume the following cumulative distribution function for the productivity distribution in country i

$$G_i(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \varphi < \underline{\varphi}_i, \\ 1 - \left(\frac{\varphi}{\underline{\varphi}_i}\right)^{-\theta_i} & \text{if } \varphi \geq \underline{\varphi}_i, \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where $\underline{\varphi}_i$ denotes an exogenous parameter of the lowest possible productivity that a firm in country i can draw. Assume that $\underline{\varphi}_i > 0$ and that for any i and j , $\underline{\varphi}_i < \varphi_{ij}^*$, that is, the lowest possible productivity in country i is lower than the exporting cutoff productivity from country i to country j . For the productivity distribution (5), derive the trade value from country i to country j , which should be a counterpart to equation (9) in p.17, 5_Melitz_Chaney.pdf. Is it increasing or decreasing in $\underline{\varphi}_i$?

References

- Caliendo, L. and Parro, F. (2015). Estimates of the trade and welfare effects of NAFTA. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 82(1):1–44.
- Eaton, J. and Kortum, S. (2002). Technology, geography, and trade. *Econometrica*, 70(5):1741–1779.